OPINION III

gain valuable skills

isic star t it is an

tv to

re past



CLANCY editor in chief

es and reminisce about the itement of working for a col-

Before his rise to celebrity tus, Lyle Lovett was a dedited Battalion news editor. iring those semesters, he id, he gained valuable skills at would impact his future eer as a country musician. ter all, everyone has to start mewhere.

He smiles when he thinks the times spent toiling ay in the newsroom and satisfaction of covering pics he cared about.

I remember all of the camlerie — everyone pulling tother to get the paper done," said.

The interaction and teamrk, he said, are only some of reasons why a student ould apply to work for The

Working at a college newsper can be the most rewardand challenging experience. om interviews to deadlines to satisfaction of reading the fiproduct, newspaper jobs ovide tools for students who ek success in any field. Mentioning deadlines, to-

y is the last to day for stuents to apply for the best job n campus. *The Battalion* is dire need of applicants om every ethnic, educationreligious and political ackground. It is difficult for te paper to provide the A&M mmunity with diverse covage if all groups are not repsented in the staff, as well

on the front page In the tradition of army reuitment propaganda, the opriunities for those brave

Meeting celebrities, working ith student leaders and enjoyga "front-row seat" in campus olitics are only a few of the erks afforded to Battalion affers. But more importantly, affers acquire marketable sign which can propel their iccess in today's job market, hether it be public relations or e country music scene.

Employers constantly seek arp individuals who can arculate their thoughts and evelop creative solutions to

any problem. By working at The Battalion, one can leave this University confident in these attributes.

It takes more to be a productive student than attending classes and making the dean's list. Everyone is familiar with A&M's buzz words: the "other" education. Tunneling energy into the narrow confines of class work and studies is constructive, but constrictive.

There is a distinct difference between possessing knowledge and utilizing knowledge. Working in a newsroom environment ety of contexts, ranging from design. Again, the opportunities

there is an "other" journalism. The caffeine dependence, the pure excitement of seeing one's work in print are only a few ingredients that form the icing on

ognize the work of each indican be both redeeming and frightening to even the most

Aside from the magnitude of the paper's audience, its status among other newspapers is more than notable. For the third time in five years, The Battalion was named a top 10 publication as a Pacemaker finalist. To college journalists, this is a crowning achievement.

However, many students are too busy believing misconcepacknowledge this. Contrary to popular belief, The Battalion is not a "rag," and anyone who beline to pick up an application.

To borrow a familiar Aggie adage, "From outside looking you can't explain it.'

Battalion staffers are not all liberal, Bonfire-hating, tradition-loathing, muckraking twopercenters. For the most part, the newsroom is an open-

Simply drop by 013 Reed Mc-Donald and pick up an application or fill out the one provided riosity and seize the opportunity — every position is paid.

But as every Battalion veteran (including Lyle Lovett) can testify, the knowledge you will gain and the pride you will feel

> Helen Clancy is a senior English major.

The Battalion offers Neighborhood watch tudents chance to Nighttime curfews replace parent-child relationships

Darents in the United States are getting a rude awakening these days in the form of laws determining when their children are free to venture outside the home environment.

These laws deal with daytime and nighttime curfews for teenagers in an attempt to keep

kids off the streets when they should be at home utilizing their free time for more productive situations, such as studying or helping to keep the family in order. But what people do not seem to realize is by implementing these laws, parental figures are losing ground as keepers of their own children.

One of the prominent duties of parents is to ensure their child's safety, thereby laying down ground rules of common sense as to when he or she should be home and when he or she has the right to go out and enjoy individual freedom. This is not to say parents should be dictators to their children, but they always should be the strong and most dominant authority figures for their children, not police officials.

One way to ensure a child is not getting into any unnecessary mischief or criminal activity is to teach them from a young age about the rights and wrongs of life.

As all parents have different styles in raising their children, the one uniting tie between parents is the desire to have their children grow up to be upstanding citizens of their hometown and an overall, well-adjusted member of society. Curfew laws, on the other hand, take away and muddle the division lines between parent-law intervention in a child's life.

In an Associated Press report out of Washington, it was reported 347 cities in America were surveyed, and of the 347 responding to the survey, 276 declared having a nighttime curfew, with 76 of those having a daytime curfew. The following is an incomplete list of responses from police officials in some of the surveyed cities:

• Tulsa, Okla. — "There is generally no useful purpose for children to be out late at

• Charlotte, N.C. — "The curfew could stop teens from getting into trouble .. many parents didn't even know their children were outside the home.'

• Claremont, Calif. — "The city's day and nighttime curfews free up police officers for other work.

• Billings, Mont. — "There was nowhere to take curfew offenders because parents often aren't home.'



turns officers into baby-sitters." • Richmond, Calif. — "Curfews treat all youths as if they were delinquents, giving law enforcement a bad image in the eyes of young people who are not troublemakers."

• Freeport, Ill. — "Curfew enforcement

As a result of these curfew laws, it was reported 14 of the surveyed cities "said there had been constitutional challenges to the curfew." This number is surprising because it is so low. Surely more parents should realize curfew laws are attempting to do away with maternal and paternal authority figures.

Police overstep their jurisdiction when they makes attempts to control the lives of children who are not their own. If the parents cannot control where their children go and what they do after a certain time of day, then maybe it should be the parents who should get some instruction on raising children, and maybe it should be the right of the children who determine when their parents can leave the house.

Another problem with curfew laws is that they cost money to be implemented into a city's budget. But then again, maybe once people have to start paying to keep their children off the streets, they will realize

maybe they should begin parenting better and making more efforts to give their children more important things to do around the house or encourage a hobby they have.

The bottom line is parents are and always should be responsible for their children. They should know where their teenagers like to spend time after school and during the evening, if they are accustomed to spending time alone or with friends outside of the home environment.

Curfew laws only add to the problem of criminal activity in the streets, as teenagers always have been known to rebel against authority. With the laws enacted, surely they will roam the streets only to get back at a system designed to take away parental privileges.

The AP report stated, "half these cities say juvenile crime has dropped since the curfew was imposed; 11 percent say the number of juvenile crimes has remained steady; and 10 percent have had an increase in juvenile-related crime." This only proves the ineffectiveness of curfew laws. Overall, they do not work and may never produced the desired results of the law.

James Francis is a junior English major.



MAIL CALL

Christmas trees show decoration

In response to I.M. Latheef's Dec. 2 "New tradition promotes religion" Mail Call letter:

What planet are you from? The

Christmas tree is a decorative ornament for the Christmas season, not for the Christian faith.

Christians believe Christmas is a celebration of the birth of Christ, not Christmas trees and ornaments. The Christmas we see today with the trees and the exchanging of presents is something in which many religions participate.

I attended Catholic school in Houston for most of my life, and not once when studying the birth of Christ did I read about Mary and Joseph saving to the livestock in attendance at the birth. "Oh no. we forgot to turn on the lights around the tree and the manger.

The Three Wise Men, according to The Bible, followed a star to Jesus, not a bunch of blinking lights around a house with a sign in the yard saying, "Hey Santa, do not miss us." Santa Claus, lights and especially trees are not essential to Christians celebrating Christmas.

Maybe if the Winter Spirit of Aggieland group herded a few sheep, goats and cows through the Memorial Student Center, one might have case for promoting Christian views.

Until then, you can go around to every department store, grocery store and every city government office and tell the workers their Christmas tree in the lobby of the establishment is offensive to you. Christopher Fisher

Class of '98

Holiday greenery represents season

Well, once again something positive is looked upon negatively. First Bonfire, and now the Winter Spirit of Aggieland. The placing of Christmas trees was not to give the campus a "Christian" face. it was done to give

the campus a Christmas face. Unfortunately, I.M. Latheef has nothing better to do, so he writes in an complains about something done to make these cold days before finals a little more bearable. If Mr. Latheef feels uncomfortable,

then he should put up some decorations from his religion. I would not be offended if he did so. I would not write to The Battalion opinion page, whining.

Perhaps the most ridiculous argument put for in the article was the alleged violation of church and state. Give me a break. If that statement held any truth, we would take down all Christmas trees on public display. So much for the national Christmas tree at the White House, and say goodbye to the Christmas tree at the mall.

Perhaps Latheef would rather us forget about Christmas all together as a national holiday. I'm sure it violates someone's religious beliefs somewhere in America, Mr. Latheef. take some time and look at a Christmas tree, not from hostile eyes, but from the eyes of those who put them up. They are trying to make life around here a little more cheerful. Maybe then you can appreciate the Christmas trees around campus.

Class of '98

Only mothers can judge role of parent

In response to Kendall Kelly's Nov. 25 column, "The Crying Game:"

I have never felt more compelled to respond then when I read Kendall Kelly's article. Exactly how many children have you had? Exactly how many have you lost? Exactly how many have moved away to attend college? If you can't answer at least one to all of these questions then get back to me when you can. You should be ashamed of yourself. No, I guess you wouldn't want your mother to participate in Aggie Mom's Fish Camp there, she might feel ashamed as well — she raised a daughter not God, judge and jury.

You say, "Aggie Moms' Camp is a poor replica of the real thing and encourages a graduated version of high school moms who are always more involved in their children's lives than was really necessary." Again, you have how many children? I ask you exactly what amount of "involvement" in a child's, teenager's, young adult's or adult's life is "more than necessary." And how did you arrive at this amount?

You say, "I thought that the parents who got satisfaction through their kids' accomplishments were a thing left back in high school, but apparently not." I guess with the number of children you have, it must have been easy to decide: "OK, at 18 you are on your own, and I take no joy in watching you succeed in life or grow into a successful adult.'

Finally, you say, "It is a ridiculous waste of time that there is an entire weekend spent counseling mother that they will 'be OK' now that little Johnny is away in the big, scary world of college. This concept moves right through the strange category and smoothly into the pathetic range." Kendall, has anyone ever told you that they were proud of you?

I wish they had because then you might not find it so easy to be judgmental of parents who are proud of their children and find an undying desire to be involved in

Being a parent is eternal, it is a way of life, not just a role. It lasts longer than anything and not even death takes it away, much less high school graduation. I think the number is large of those students at this University alone who have lost a parent and would give everything not just anything to have them back participating actively in their lives.

My advice to you it to walk a mile in someone else's shoes before you yourself "move into the pathetic range" of condemning the very parents this world needs more of — those involved in their children's lives.

Shana Lee Nugent Class of '93

Rebekah Lynn Wiggs Class of '94

Ashley Dawn Ohm Class of '93

