Page 12/The Battalion/Wednesday, January 23, 1985

### Alfredo's / Papa's Pizza Introduces **39¢** Flameburgers

**49¢ French Fries** 65¢ Onion Rings Hours: Open 11 a.m. Close after midnight

846-0079 846-3824 509 University Drive



cordially invites you . . . to attend our first two lectures free THURSDAY 7th & TUESDAY 12th of February (Including Complimentary Materials) Commences at 6:00 p.m.

Come see how good a CPA review course can be! Call today to reserve a seat!!! 1-800-392-5441 **College Station** 

\*\*\*\*\*\*

# Men's Soccer Team Meeting 9:00 p.m. Wed, Jan. 23 **Deware Field House**

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

The Battalion SPREADING THE NEWS Since 1878



### WORLD AND NATION

Deliberation continues in libel case

#### **Associated Press**

NEW YORK — The jury in Ariel Sharon's libel lawsuit against Time Inc. began a second week of deliber-ations Monday, trying to determine if a Time article about Sharon was published with the knowledge it was false, or with reckless disregard of whether it was false.

The burden of proof in the \$50 million suit lies with the former Is-raeli defense minister, and in the first five days of deliberations the jury has found for Sharon on two issues: that a key paragraph of a 1983 Time magazine cover story about a massacre in Lebanon was defamatory, and that it was false.

To award Sharon a libel verdict, however, the federal jury still must rule on the question of "actual mal-ice" — whether Time published the story knowing it was false or with reckless disregard of whether it was false.

The panel met for about nine hours Monday before recessing for the night at 7 p.m. They were to re-sume deliberations Tuesday.

If the jury, which is sequestered, determines Time published the story without actual malice, the trial is over and Sharon loses his case, al-though he has called the jurors' first two findings against Time a "clear moral victory."

If the jury rules for Sharon, a mini-trial with more witnesses and evidence will be held during which Sharon must prove to the jury that his reputation was damaged and he should receive money.

Sharon was not libeled if his reputation was not damaged by the article about an Israeli inquiry into the massacre of hundreds of Palestinians by Israeli-allied Lebanese Christians while he was defense minister in 1982.

Sharon, now minister of industry and commerce, sued over Time's Feb. 21, 1983, cover story that in one paragraph said he "reportedly discussed" revenge for the assassination of Lebanon's Christian president-elect, Bashir Gemayel, with the Christian Phalangists. The next day, the massacre began at two West Beirut refugee camps.





## **Baker confirmation could** bring up tough questions

#### **Associated Press**

WASHINGTON — James A. Baker III is a sure bet to win quick Senate approval for his move from the White House to the Treasury Department, but his confirmation hearing could hint at the kind of re-ception Congress will give President Reagan's second-term program.

Could, that is, if the members of the Senate Finance Committee choose to press the White House staff chief about just what the presi-dent has in mind for the next four years.

In this era of concentration of power in the White House, enormous authority rests with members

Probably more than any other

istration is heading, as well as some of the decisions made during the first term.

The committee was taking up the Baker nomination just two days after Reagan's inaugural address calling for an "American renewal.

Like most inaugural addresses, the speech was long on rhetoric and short on specifics. The details presu-mably will appear Feb. 4, when the president's budget is made public and on Fab. 6, when Rearran delivers and on Feb. 6, when Reagan delivers his State of the Union address to a joint session of Congress.

For example, when Reagan said he would "shortly submit a budget to the Congress aimed at freezing govof the president's staff — individuals who do not face Senate confirmation and who rarely, if ever, testify before Congress.

on all spending. person in the government, Baker could knowledgeably discuss the budget and taxes, where the admin-

- knew better. That freeze wor clude the Pentagon. What will it include? Where S

Th

Bi

at Cl

E

(g00

Wa

the \$50 billion come from? Baker has never hesitated to that the proposed freeze would include the Pentagon. He was asked if the White Hou

would be ready to make a deal-cut Pentagon spending if Cong made additional cuts in other p grams.

"Well, I couldn't possibly p udge that," Baker said. "I think come off of his defense post now, particularly until you to knew those spending cuts w going to be supplied." The fact is that during the

three years, since the original gan honeymoon during which got quick approval of his budgets tax cuts, Congress has worked its on the Pentagon request, cuttin back sharply.



