Chamber dispute not good for B-CS

Texas A&M students sometimes are too wrapped up in their own worlds to think about the community they live in, and that's understandable. After all, Brazos Valley is just a part-time home for many Aggies. But a major battle has been going on between Bryan and College Station, and perhaps we should be aware of it.

What started out as a simple relocation of the Chamber of Commerce building has turned into a war. A vote among the Chamber members Monday fell short of the two-thirds majority needed to amend the Chamber's charter to allow moving the main office to College Station.

The College Station City Council already had voted to contribute \$400,000 toward purchase of the proposed site for the new building. Now that the Chamber office will not be moved to College Station, some City Council members are unwilling to put up that much money unless Bryan contributes half of the costs.

Here's the tricky part. College Station allocates \$100,000 a year from ho-

tel-motel tax revenues to the chamber Bryan allocates \$30,000.

Travis Bryan Jr., a chamber member and Bryan resident who opposed the move, said he didn't fell his actions have rekindled old rivalries.

Hogwash.

College Station Mayor Gary Halter referred to College Station as "the minority partner" in the chamber. He's right. College Station contributes more money to the chamber — and based on both cities' growth patterns, will continue to do so — but that didn't seem to matter in the final vote.

Not every Bryan official was against the move. Mayor Ron Blatchley favored the move, but now that the vote is over he has expressed hope that everyone can "go on from here."

Unfortunately, it's not going to be that easy. Too many feelings have been hurt and too many principles have been violated.

The Battalion Editorial Board fervently hopes the two cities don't tear themselves apart forever over this issue, but it seems that may be unavoidable.

— The Battalion Editorial Board



Letters

Save the memorial

Editor

Thanks to the Physical Plant the memorial at west gate no longer exists in its original form at the west gate entrance; thanks to a gift by a former student — the "Albritton Bell Tower." What is the significance of the carillion tower? To peal at Aggie victories? Not a bad idea, but one which I believe does not have the right to supercede the importance of the memorial it is replacing. The memorial is to commemorate those brave sons of Texas A&M who died in World War I, a much nobler cause than announcing Aggie victories.

It's not the bell tower or its ideas I dislike, again, I think it's a marvelous idea. It's the lack of respect and thought given to a gift of former students which predates the graduation date of the new gift's sponser by 17 years. There was — as usual — no planning by the Planning and Facilities construction department as to the disposition of the monument.

These are the same people who are allowing the destruction of A&M's architectural Heritage:

1) the gutting of all terra cotta art work of the Civil Engineering Building to be replaced by gypsum board.

2) the soon to be started "renovation" of the Halbouty and Animal Industries Buildings. Again, both buildings to be gutted and the artwork destroyed.

As of today there is no permanent site for the monument that has been removed. It now lies in storage at the Physical Plant until a new site can be decided upon.

In order to restore the monument to a position of notoriety, may I suggest two sites for its placement? It will then no longer be in storage but again viewed by the public. Funds for its placement should come out of the Albritton tower project and not state tax payer funds for the University.

1) Locate the monument in the circular flower bed which is on the axis of Old Main drive and the old military walk (between the Coke Building and the YMCA Building). The floral star representing Texas could still be land-scaped as the size of the monument is small. The is the first site proposed for the carillion.

2) Put it back in its original space under the arches of the bell tower.

Don't let the Physical Plant or Planning and Faculty's construction dictate the outcome. Traditions such as those deserve a better fate.

Jim Vandenberg Class of 83

Memorial should stay

Editor

"In recognition of the splendid participation ..." For years there was a Memorial Day ceremony at this reminder of man's sacrifices in the Great War; a holly wreath, desiring peace for the future generations of Texas Aggies.

The Great War was the first mass commitment of A&M Cadets to serve their flag. The citizen-soldiers left College Station (the class of 1917 enlisted en masse) and 55 did not come home. After the Armistice the world made many memorials; from the Arc de Triumphe to Westminister Abbey to Arlington National Cemetary. In Texas there are like memorials; from the Texas Memorial Stadium of the University of Texas to our 55 flags over Kyle Field, the name plates on the oaks around the Main Drill Field, and the granite marker on what was then the very front gate of the campus.

But now times have changed. Wars are memories (usually bad), and there

are more pressing things — like a Freudian monument to vanity. Arguments of "everyone else has one" (so why not buy a chair and get a Nobel laureate to sit in it?) and "the bells will have our university seal on them" (at 140 feet who is tall enough to read them?) don't hold. You who fought for the Memorial Student Center (that splendid memorial to our "soldier dead" of the Second World War) grass should start yelling again; before it's too late. Just think, some day if the bucks are there, Lawrence Sullivan Ross could disappear and some man with a big wallet be in his place. The tower is one such praise of the mighty buck, but this is not an issue of vanity ("vanity of vanity, all is vanity") this is an issue of the memorial to 55 Americans. What do we tell them in their Aggie Vahalla? "Sorry, but this achievement in business is more impor-tant than your dying." To all those involved in this abomination I have just one thing to say: "Shame on you; shame on the lot of you.'

Matthew E. Raney Class of 1980

Fight for justice

Editor:

Although personal freedom and justice were established as a basic part of this society, there are always those willing to abridge justice and fair treatment of others. As a student in one of the largest engineering colleges at this University, I've become increasingly aware of this reality and the fact that this institution is no sanctuary from "real world" problems

After going through the official ranks for the past 13 months on the matter of a grade appeal, my feelings are bordering on contempt for those individuals who privately admitted the existence of an injustice, but publicly turned their

heads the other way. Without pointing any fingers, this ordeal pertained to one section of a sophomore engineering course in the fall of 1982 in which 42 out of 59 students received failing grades. These figures revealed a 71 percent failure rate as compared to 26 percent failure of all other sections of the same course. Even though these figures were way out of line, the responsible, or may I say "irresponsible" department officials chose to sweep the affair under the rug. As a final resort, after many letters and conversations of appeal falling on deaf ears, I brought my case before the University Academic Appeals Panel. At last, my appeal for a grade change was upheld by a receptive panel of conscientious, responsible individuals.

Now, it's not healthy to have a bad attitude, but being naive or eternally optimistic will not always feed the bulldog. As a result of this episode I have been educated on a few things which I would like to pass on to others free of charge.

It seems that the larger the college or department, the less accommodating they tend to be, especially when they are trying to shrink their swelling ranks. When dealing with the masses, those who make decisions do not always act with a conscience. There are no student advocates, per se, at this institution to look out for the good will of each student. And finally, justice is neither free nor an automatic process. As a result, there is often no check exercised over unfair circumstances unless you stand up for yourself.

It would be nice if no one ever fell victim to such injustice as encountered by myself and many others, but in the event that you do, I encourage you not to be reluctant in opening your mouth and pursuing fair treatment. We may have to submit to higher authorities to get an education here, but we need not bow silently to their abuse and indignation.

Alan Edwards Class of '84

Congress ducks issues

Editor

We are seeing the established system determine that important policy-making

decisions are too sensitive to bring for debate in this election year. The jor parties have determined that, the issues are too volatile for formal cussion, the political arena would better policy molder than taking on position of being responsible in the representative roles. Congress is simple once again upon the "lame duck pression at our expense. This important is strikes into our future.

In Congress' decision to stand in the strikes into our future.

In Congress' decision to stand in the strikes into our future.

In Congress' decision to stand a from establishing substantial measurement for controlling the problems of the get and its deficits, they fail to grasp urgency of the situation. To take on stance of spectators instead of purpose the congress assures that the crent economic recovery would be still by a potential recession which would claim valuable election-year jobs for much longer period than the recess of 1981-82.

Current interest rates have been at alarmingly high levels by the fore of increasing deficits without a constitute policy towards controlling the pullem through 1989. Against all advice from government regulatory agent as well as private industry and economically and the President of the prepared to wait on the issue after the elections. Meanwhile, the interest rates keep the dollar a strongest level in decades, causing foreign trade deficit to grow.

My intent is to inform you, the mage apathetic voter, of the need to derstand the situation at hand. Take initiative this election year and rest to make an impression on your future.

We are all here at Texas A&Mind der that we may be able to live in manner in which we choose. To be and see what is offered would be for our part.

A postcard, phone call, telegram letter to your President, your congreman, your senators, or your state of resentative would, today, involve you determining the world in which you live in. We no longer can afford to wand see what the government will do us. Break away from the tradition become involved.

Charles M. Stegemoe

The Battalion

USPS 045 360

Member of

Editor	. Rebeca Zimmermann
Managing Editor	John Wagner
City Editor	
Assistant City Editors	
	Stephanie Ross
News Editor	Tracey Taylor
Assistant News Editors	Susan Talbot,
	Wanda Winkler
Editorial Page Editor	Kathy
9	Wiesepape
Sports Editor	Donn Friedman
Assistant Sports Editor	Bill Robinson
Entertainment Editor	
Assistant Entertainment 1	Editor Angel
	Stokes
Photo Editor	John Makely

Editorial Policy

The Battalion is a non-profit, self-supporting newspaper operated as a community service to Texas A&M University and Bryan-College Station. Opinions expressed in The Battalion are those of the editor or the

Texas Press Association
outhwest Journalism Conference
mermann
author, and do not necessarily represent the opinion
of Wagner
Texas A&M University administrators or faculty
Koranek
bers, or of the Board of Regents.

The Battalion also serves as a laboratory news for students in reporting, editing and photograph ses within the Department of Communications. Questions or comments concerning any editoria ter should be directed to the editor.

The Battalion is published Monday through for during Texas A&M regular semesters, except for day and examination periods. Mail subscriptions \$16.75 per semester, \$33.25 per school year and \$5 full year. Advertising rates furnished on request.

Our address: The Battalion, 216 Reed McDon Building, Texas A&M University, College Station.

United Press International is entitled exclusive the use for reproduction of all news dispatches to it. Rights of reproduction of all other matter.

reserved.
Second class postage paid at College Station. 77843.

