# -national

Battalion/Page 9 February 23, 1983

Democrats blocked his re-

#### EPA reform bill put forth

## Ousted official to testify

Environmental Agency official Rita Lavelle, who dodged congressional subpoenas last week, met with Rep. Elliott Levitas, D-Ga., and his be given before his subcommittee later in the week, sources said

met with a congressman since she was fired two weeks ago as EPA's toxic waste chief. The sources said the 21/2 hour meeting focused on the testimony she documents. Levitas reached an was expected to give to Levitas' Public Works subcommittee.

Lavelle twice last week refused to appear as scheduled at House subcommittee hearings. Another House subcommit-

ee chaired by Rep. John Ding-

LIGH

RL

LIGHT

2 LB. \$

United Press International WASHINGTON — Ousted nvironmental Protection cials, including Lavelle, who were subpoenaed to testify in executive session about allegations of agency abuses. Aides to Ding-ell's subcommittee declined to staff to prepare her testimony to disclose which of those subpoenaed would be interviewed first.

It was Levitas' panel that initi-The meeting Monday ated a contempt of Congress marked the first time Lavelle has met with a congressman since Gorsuch Burford last year, that triggered the administration's mounting confrontation with Congress over secret agency agreement last week with the White House that will give congressmen access to the files.

The controversy over the EPA and its handling of money marked for toxic waste cleanup has prompted a group of conell, D-Mich., planned Wednes- gressmen to endorse legislation the same political party.

day to start questioning the first that would make the agency independent and free from presidential control.

The reform legislation was to be introduced Tuesday in the House by Rep. James Scheuer, D-N.Y., and in the Senate by both Sens. Daniel Moynihan, D-N.Y., and George Mitchell, D-Maine

The drive to create an En-vironmental Protection Commission is prompted by charges the administration mishandled the agency's toxic waste cleanup program for political purposes. The bill, similar to a measure Scheuer introduced last year, would create an independent five-member body, appointed by the president to staggered

seven-year terms of office. The president's nominees would have to be confirmed by the Senate, and all could not come from

Mitchell said the commission's main responsibilities would be policy formation, with day-to-day management by an executive director.

Cosponsoring the bill are powerful House Inteior Com-mittee Chairman Morris Udall, D-Ariz., and Reps. Claudine Schneider, R-R.I., and Joe Moakly, D-Mass.

Levitas was to meet Tuesday with House leaders, including Speaker Thomas O'Neill, to brief them on the historic agree-ment he reached with the White House over access to EPA files.

The pact between Levitas' subcommittee and the administration ran into important opposition Monday from Dingell, who warned it sanctifies a president's ability to hold back information on government misconduct

## Republican Gramm sworn in on Tuesday

office.

States

United Press International WASHINGTON — Rep. Phil Gramm of Bryan, College Station, who switched to the Republican party after Democrats removed him from the House Budget Committee, was sworn in Tuesday by House Speaker Thomas O'Neill amid good-natured banter that gave little hint of part hitterners past bitterness.

Gramm became the 166th GOP member of the House, which is dominated by 267 Democrats. There still are two

With O'Neill presiding, Re-publican leader Robert Michel of Illinois asked that Gramm be allowed to take his seat although his certificate of election had not arrived from

"Is the gentleman here?" asked O'Neill, drawing the

first of several rounds of

The 20 or so Republicans on the House floor broke into

cheers and began shaking hands with Gramm. The three Democrats sat silently. Gramm resigned from

Congress late in the last ses-

sion after he had been elected

to the new Congress as a

As O'Neill began to give the oath, he interrupted him-self to say, "I think Mr. Michel ought to be in there close." appointment to the influential budget panel because he had been a strong backer of President Reagan's policies and had consorted with the White Michel then joined the small group around Gramm as he House on budget strategy. Gramm said he merely was working effectively for the views of his constituents. took the standard oath of "Congratulations," O'Neill said. "You're a member of the Congress of the United

The only sour note of the day's proceedings came when Rep. Dan Lungren, R-Calif. charged in a brief speech that Gramm was returning as a Re-publican because democracy had broken down among Democrats.

"Unfortunately, democra-cy is sometimes hard to find in the House of Representatives," he said. "The people of the 6th district of Texas have shown that democracy works.

### Democrat, then won the elec-tion as a Republican in a spe-cial election. Court agrees NG KROGER'S United Press International WASHINGTON — The Sup-reme Court Tuesday revived the government's chances of raking in another \$40 billion in oil windfall profits taxes by agreeing to review a ruling striking down the tax. Last month the court, ignor-CENTERS/1ST

to review tax

ing two justices' objections, refused to give speedy treatment to the government's appeal of a Wyoming judge's ruling over-turning the tax. Tuesday, the court agreed to hear the case under usual procedures, with arguments next term and a rul-ing likely in 1024 ing likely in 1984.

Although it continues to collect the tax, the government urged the high court to settle the dispute because returning bil-lions in taxes could disrupt the

federal budget. The court's action closely follows President Reagan's intro-duction of his 1984 budget, which projects a deficit near \$189 billion. The Justice De-partment warned that unless the high court acted to block repayment of the taxes, the govern-ment stands to lose "sums of enormous magnitude."

The tax, passed to stop oil producers from reaping profits from decontrol of oil prices, was held unconstitutional by a federal judge in Cheyenne because it exempts oil produced in Alaska. The Crude Oil Windfall Pro-

Tax Act, which President Carter signed into law in April

north of the Arctic Circle. The Independent Petroleum Assocation of America and other oil producers sought to have the law overturned and re-

coup substantial refunds. Texas and Louisiana joined the oil producers in urging the high court to strike down the tax because it does not operate with

the same force in every place. On Nov. 4, U.S. District Judge Ewing Kerr held the tax violated a clause of the Constitution which requires that taxes be uniform throughout the United States.

Kerr interpreted the "uniformity clause" as meaning that "distinctions based on geogra-

phy are simply not allowed." He stayed his ruling, allowing the Treasury to collect the tax until the Supreme Court resolves the issue.

Net revenues from the tax in 1981 and 1982 were about \$26 billion. The government esti-mates the tax will generate about \$40 billion for the government over the next five years.

The government, urging the high court to hear the case, said Congress gave Alaskan oil the tax break because it recognized "unique climatological difficul-ties in oil extraction in the North Slope areas.

Even if the justices find that Alaskan oil should be taxed, the government argued, the law is still valid because Congress in-





Right of trial denied in court

United Press International WASHINGTON — The Sup-reme Court, spurning pleas from both liberal and conservative interest groups, refused Tuesday to examine what has been described as a dangerous setback for citizens wanting their day in federal court.

The justices left in place an Alabama ruling that observers' fear sets a precedent permitting state judges to restrict a citizen's constitutional right of access to the federal courts.

The case involves a Texas man's attempts to sue Alabama officials and his home state for \$106 million for allegedly conspiring to steal his insurance company and punish him financially for his political activities.

Alabama insurance officials in 1972 declared Empire Life Insurance Co. of America insolvent, placed it in receivership and eventually liquidated its assets and turned them over to a competing insurer.

The Alabama judge presiding over the receivership at the same time issued an injunction barring Shearn Moody Empire's president and chief stockholder, from filing any federal lawsuits that could interfere with the insurance case.

Moody sought permission several times to file his suit for damages but was refused. At point, he was fined \$250,000 for breaking the injunction.

work over the insurance re-ceivership case was complete Moody and the company that took over its assets.

and Moody again sought per-mission to file his federal court action.

But again the request was rejected in a ruling upheld by the Alabama Supreme Court.

On appeal to the U.S. Sup-reme Court, Moody claimed he is being illegally denied his right of access to the federal courts. At least four interest groups, in-cluding the American Civil Liberties Union and the Conser-

vative Caucus, backed him. If the Alabama ruling is allowed to stand, Moody's lawyers warned, it will set off a "silent, growing encroachment on federal court's jurisdiction and citizens' liberty.

The worry is particularly great, they said, since at least 32 states now have insurance receivership statutes like Alabama's permitting state court injunctions against any related federal court suits. At least one state — Texas — is routinely issuing such injunctions, they argued. Moody and the legal interest

groups all complained that state courts should not be allowed to restrict citizens from federal court, except in very narrow instances. Certainly, private lawsuits seeking damages from gov-ernment officials for illegal ac-tions should not be blocked, they

argued. The only parties advising the high court not to hear Moody's appeal were the Alabama insur-By late 1981, most of the legal ance officials who foreclosed on

1980, exempted from taxation tended the tax to apply to all crude oil produced in Alaska, states.