

RANKING STATE ELEVEN.

Farmers Tasy Winners in Intercollegiate Conference With Baylor and Texas Close.

With Thursday's games the football season in the state closed, winding up the last doubts as to the standing of the nine colleges belonging to the Texas intercollegiate. And there is naturally joy at College Station over the fact that the Farmer eleven comes out triumphantly as winner of the state championship. The nine conference institutions are the most important in the state, and outside of them, there is little athletic rank. To make a long story short, the ranking of the colleges in the Lone Star State this season is as follows: 1, A. and M.; 2, Texas; 3, Baylor; 4, Southwestern; 5, Trinity; 6, Austin; 7, Polytechnic; 8, Daniel Baker; 9, T. C. U.

There is but one dark cloud on the horizon, the uncertainty of Baylor's position. That the Baptists have a great football team is recognized, and, as a matter of fact, it stands undefeated. But the Farmer slate is absolutely clean, while Baylor has the smirch of the much-discussed and altogether unfortunate forfeit to Texas. But the only way that the situation can be taken is obvious. Baylor played the game on her own field and of her own volition quit the game, protesting over the decision of the referee. Without taking up the rights of the matter or considering the diverse claim as to the team which played best in the game, the only way possible to settle the matter is to consider that Texas eliminated Baylor by the forfeit. The score stood tied at the time Glaze took his men off the field. As a result, Baylor must pay the penalty and accept third place in the Texas intercollegiate by her own act. The ranking of Trinity and Poly is obvious, as Poly's defeat of the Waxahachie team was in early season, while the latter showed vastly greater form afterward.

The ranking of nine colleges is based on actual scores. A. and M. comes into the arena without a single state defeat recorded against her. Texas lost but to A. and M. in the state. Baylor did not play A. and M. but forfeited to Texas, being assigned third place in consequence. All of these colleges defeated the smaller ones in easy fashion. Southwestern's checkered career included a tie with Austin and defeats by Texas, A. and M. and Baylor. S. W. U. is given precedence over Trinity because of a harder schedule and great form displayed. The Georgetown boys played great football, though luck broke against them. Trinity lost to Poly too early in the season to count. They won from Austin. Daniel Baker twice, Fort Worth an won and lost to T. C. U. After pushing Poly out of the way, Austin lost a brilliant chance to finish by losing to Trinity in the last game of the season. Poly is easily next to Austin. It is almost a toss-

up between Daniel Baker and T. C. U. for last place, the Brownwood team having won, tied one and lost four during the season, while T. C. U. tied one, won one and lost seven. It was Daniel Baker's 0 to 0 with Baylor that gave them the precedence.

The A. and M. Season.

A. and M. had a most successful season in 1910, marred by but one defeat, a victory achieved by Arkansas at Fayetteville without making a touchdown. Moran's squad opened the year with a decisive defeat of Marshall Training School and followed with an easy victory over Austin College. In the next two games, T. C. U. and Kentucky were annihilated. A field goal and safety by the Razorbacks won from the husky College Station team. Returning by way of Fort Worth, Moran captured captured another game from T. C. U. and then came the decisive game of November 14 at Houston, when the Farmers defeated Texas in a great victory. The next game was with Southwestern at College Station, played on a muddy field. Just how important it was that the Farmers should win is clearly demonstrated by the fact that if they had not, A. and M., Texas and Southwestern would have claimed the state championship and Baylor would fought and A. and M. barely won, 6 to 0. The sason ended with a victory over Tulane at Houston.

Longhorns a Disappointment.

With one of the strongest teams that has represented Texas in recent years, the Longhorns were much of a disappointment, owing to the losses to A. and M. and Oklahoma. The team opened brilliantly and swept on the Houston game in a series of victories that left on doubt in any one's mind that they would go through an undefeated season. Instead, at Houston, they far from played in the form they were capable of.

In the game Texas was clearly outplayed and it was a bitter crowd of rooters that went back to Austin after the battle. With a great team they could not but feel that it was a sad blow to lose to their bitterest rivals.

The Texas schedule was the best in the state, easily. It was well balanced and included games with the foremost teams in the state, South and Southwest. It was a great tribute to Manager Stark, as never before had such a schedule been given to any Texas college.

The season opened with a hard fought victory over Southwestern. This was followed by two easy conquests. Haskell and Transylvania. Then came the game with Auburn, one of the strongest elevens in the South. It was bitterly battled to the end and the Longhorns were victorious by a touchdown and a field goal. The game won by forfeit from Baylor was next. Afterward came the defeat by the Agricultural and Mechanical, the glorious 12 to 0 victory over Louisiana

State university and the Thanksgiving loss to Oklahoma.

The Longhorns were practically a veteran eleven with a sufficient seasoning of young blood to improve. From the beginning of the year to the Waco game, the team steadily improved under the able tutelage of Wasmund. After that it declined and even against Louisiana State university much of a lethargic spirit was evidenced. Numbering on the roster such men as Kirkpatrick, Estill, Woodhull, Massingail and Moore, Texas, should have had a far better year.

Baylor, a Great Team.

Baylor undoubtedly had as strong a team as any college in the state. It, too, was veteran. With a magnificent back field, a fleet pair of ends and a fair line, the Waco men had much to look forward to.

The season opened disastrously when the Baptists were outplayed and held to a tie by Daniel Baker. But from the ashes of that game rose a great eleven. After that their status was never in question. Glaze kept his men on their toes and brilliant victories over Austin, Poly and T. C. U. came in rapid succession. Baylor honestly expected to win the state championship and looked to the game with Texas at Waco to give it to them. But fate intervened. There is to need to discuss that famous dispute—it has been dwelt upon thoroughly by those most interested. But in leaving the field Glaze gave up his claims to the state leadership. At any rate, however, Baylor has one record that no one lese has. The team went through the entire season without a single actual defeat. The tie with Daniel Baker and the forfeit to Texas mar the record, but there can be no assertion that the team was actually defeated at any time.

With Fouts, Robinson, Moist and others, Glaze had ready material to work with and of it he evolved a goo strong team.

S. W. U. Was Unfortunate.

Arbuckle had a good team at Southwestern, but luck ran against it a great deal and its hardest games were played on foreign fields. The Georgetown eleven began by losing to Texas and, at the close of the season had beaten nothing but the smaller state colleges. They had a one-point loss to Arkansas that really hurt. Southwestern played a close game with Texas and A. and M., but lost overwhelmingly to Baylor.

The S. W. U. team was light and fast and had to have a fast field to play well. They handled both the forward pass and the onside kick with ease. In the back field McHenry Headrick and Snipes starred, while Voight and a few other good line men helped make Arbuckle's team.

Trinity's Excellent Record.

The Trinity eleven failed to cut a big splurge in the state intercollegiate because there wer no big games

on the schedule. But the 1910 record is good indeed. The fast little team had enough sense to play in their own class. An early defeat by Poly had little effect on the record, as the team was not then in real form. T. C. U. was the only other eleven to win from the Waxahachie bunch and they came back at the Christians for a return victory. Trinity did not play brilliant football, but was steady and showed improvement all the way through. The best game of the year was probably that won from Austin by a field goal on Thanksgiving. Big Edmundson, the Trinity captain, is one of the best backs in the state.

Austin Had Hard Luck.

The early illness of Coach Rix at a critical poin in the development of his team started Austin in wrong. The team was badly beaten by Baylor and Agricultural and Mechanical college at first, but rallied in form when Rix again took charge and tied Southwestern and Trinity. Two victories followed over Poly and an Oklahoma normal. The Poly game was a great one, as Rix's team, playing on foreign soil won out in spite of facing a thirteen point lead by their opponents. It was a display of sheer nerve and a good one. A defeat, but a strongly contested one, ended the season. Had Austin won the Trinity game, it would probably have ranked above Southwestern university in state standing. Rix patiently developed his team, handicapped, of course by lack of weight.

Poly Played Good Ball.

Fort Worth Polytechnic had a comparatively good team and played several interesting games. The team was coached by Chester Johnson and played consistent ball. As a ember of the triumvirate of the Panther City, naturally most of the games were local, but Johnson's warriors had several state battles on their hands. The big fellows walloped them, but they held their own with their own size.—Houston Post.

White, Pink and Red
CARNATIONS
Large Beautiful Flowers \$2.00
per dozen for Thanksgiving.
SCOTT FLORAL COMPANY
Long distance Phone 171, Navasota, Tex.
Agent—Miss Marian Foote.

Cleaning

AND

Pressing

PROMPTLY DONE

CHAS. NITCH,
CAMPUS

TAILOR

WILL MAKE ANYTHING FROM
AN OVERCOAT TO A PAIR OF
TROUSERS.